Before theFEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of 2002 Biennial Regulatory Review - Review of the Commission's Broadcast Ownership Rules and Other Rules Adopted Pursuant to Section 202 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking,
MM Docket No. 02-277, (rel. Sept. 23, 2002)

To: The Secretary, FCC Commisioners, and Chief, Media Bureau

I would like to reply to the comment filed by Clear Channel Communications, Inc. Their comment is an excellent illustration of the lies the major media companies are telling in their pursuit of deregulation. Clear Channel states:

•Clear Channel [has] demonstrated that diversity and competition, the Commission•s traditional public interest goals, are adequately addressed by the modern media marketplace, where consumers have an abundant array of choices for their news and entertainment and the various media compete for viewers and advertisers. • They quote the D.C. Circuit Court in their interpretation of Section 202-H as meaning, in terms of deregulation, •Damn the torpedoes! Full speed ahead! •

Going •full speed ahead• with deregulation would be extremely damaging to our nation, our democracy, and our citizens. Clear Channel•s record in radio, contrary to their blithe assertions, is the best cautionary tale that could be told!

DIVERSITY • Clear Channel has eliminated thousands of local programmers and radio personalities and created a numbing uniformity of programming, dictated from corporate headquarters, on their 1,200 radio stations across the country. Their talk of •new formats• obscures the fact that within each •format• they control has grown an unprecedented sameness. Jim Richards, Clear Channel director of FM programming in San Diego, CA, acknowledged that uniformity of programming is a goal for Clear Channel in an interview in the San Diego Star Tribune 7/30/2002. Richards said, •A McDonald•s employee can•t all of a sudden put ketchup on a Big Mac. Why is radio any different?•

LOCALISM • Clear Channel doesn•t mention this stated goal that the FCC promotes in media in their comments, for good reason. It•s well known that localism has all but disappeared from their voice-tracked and centrally-programmed radio stations. Not only the lesser-listened to dayparts of nights, overnights and weekends, but increasingly the prime drive-time and midday dayparts, have been automated with DJ voices from distant towns, as illustrated by a segment of the TV program •NOW With Bill Moyers• earlier this year.

COMPETITION • See Jam Productions• lawsuit in Chicago against Clear Channel, or Nobody In Particular Presents• lawsuit in Denver, or the award-winning series of articles on Salon.com, or the excellent coverage over the past few years in the Los Angeles Times, or talk to any sales manager or salesperson at a stand-alone or small group radio station in competition with Clear Channel, to learn about the anti-competitive business practices they have engaged in since deregulation allowed them to

achieve their present size.

Sincerely,

Karen Peterson